

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Absorbin, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upip gov

				· -		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/032,884	1	0/29/2001	Shih-Wen Chen	UPA-01215	8302	
	7590	07/01/2003				
SUPREME PATENT SERVICES POST OFFICE BOX 2339				EXAMINER		
SARATOGA, CA 95070				KIM, PETER B		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2851		
				DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/032,884	CHEN, SHIH-WEN			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	:	Peter B. Kim	2851			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)☐		s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/032,884

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: "trying to obtain" is not a positive limitation. It does not constitute a limitation in a patentable sense. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art arc such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Szajewski et al. (Szajewski) (6,222,607) in view of O'Callaghan et al. (O'Callaghan) (2002/0176120).

Szajewski discloses a method for scanning and autocropping the valid scope of a negative film comprising the steps of building a database of film, wherein the valid scope of negative film frame are recorded in the database (col. 10, line 30-42 and creating digital record in col. 2, lines 40-56), previewing a negative film frame (monitoring system, 23), inputting brand name of negative film and searching the database (Fig. 2, steps 103, 105) and scanning with a negative film scanner (90). Szajewski discloses obtaining cropping information from the stored database, but Szajewski does not specify the method. O'Callaghan discloses in para. 74-76, obtaining cropping data based on the length and width of the frame and calculating the valid scope for cropping. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

Application/Control Number: 10/032,884

Art Unit: 2851

invention to provide the method of cropping as taught by O'Callaghan to the invention of Szajewski in order to easily and efficiently extract images from a medium (para 7, 10).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-6 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objection set forth in this Office action.

None of the prior art of record teaches or discloses a method of scanning and autocropping comprising the steps of searching the database for number of sprocket holes of a negative film frame according to the brand name and splitting consecutive film into plurality of sections according to the number of sprocket holes in combination with the steps of building a database, previewing frames, in putting the brand name, obtaining coordinates of plurality of vertexes and calculating the coordinates of the center of the frame, calculating vertexes of every negative frame and displaying plurality of cropped frames.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Kim whose telephone number is (703) 305-0105. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays during the same hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russ Adams can be reached on 703 308 2847. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9318 for regular communications and 703 872 9319 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2851

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 306 3431.

Peter B. Kim

Patent Examiner

June 27, 2003